

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,680	03/20/2006	Hideki Tomozawa	Q77727	4411
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 10/24/200° ION, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			HO, HOANG QUAN TRAN	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2818	
			<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/572,680	TOMOZAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hoang-Quan Ho	2818	

Advisory Action	10/572,680	TOMOZAWA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
:	Hoang-Quan Ho	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a)						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		THINGT NEFET WAS TH	LED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Office Action</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the and ellowable claim(s). 						
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Office Action.	,	n condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						

Application/Control Number:

10/572,680

7

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment dated October 12, 2007 is acknowledged. Currently, claims 1 – 16 are pending in light of the amendment, in which claim 1 was amended, no claim was cancelled, no claim was withdrawn, and no claim was added have not been entered of record.

Regarding Applicant's amendment to adding the new limitation "single" into line 2 of claim 1, the Examiner still contests that the claimed invention is still anticipated or rendered obvious by the prior arts. With respect to the limitation "a single continuous light-permeable first layer", the Examiner would like to point out to the Applicant that the preamble of claim 1 includes the transitional term "comprising", which does not limit the claim(s) to only the recited limitation(s) thus allowing additional components. See for example, MPEP § 2111.03 Transitional Phrases [R-3]. Second half of 3rd paragraph. Thus, even if Chen shows in fig. 7 that ref. char. a, "Au-rich", are made up of plurality of islands, the prior art still reads on the claim, since claim 1 allows for other plurality of islands to coexist. Therefore, Applicant has failed to place the application in condition for allowance.

Art Unit: 2818

Page 3

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang-Quan Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-8711. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Loke can be reached on (571) 272-1657. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HQH/ Hoang-Quan Ho Junior Examiner October 18, 2007

Andy Hugh Brimany Examine